FOR UTILITY/DESIGN CIP/PCT NATIONAL/PLANT ORIGINAL/SUBSTITUTE/SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATIONS

RULE 63 (37 C.F.R) DECLARATION AND POWER ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

PW FORM

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the INVENTION ENTITLED
COMBINATION THERAPIES FOR

B-CELL LYLMPHOMAS C	OMPRISING ADMINISTRATION	N OF ANTI-CD20	Int on the <u>INVENTION EN</u> ANTIBODY	ITITLED COMBINA	TION THERAPIES FOR
the specificati	on of which (CHECK applicable	BOX(ES))			
X A. ☐ is attach BOX(ES) → B. ☐ wa					
	s filed on s filed as PCT International	as	U.S. Application No.		
and (if applicable to U.S. or	r PCT application) was amended	d on			t 11, 1998
I hereby state that I have review	wed and understand the contents of	the above identified	specification, including the o	laims, as amended by an	y amendment referred to
foreign priority benefits under 3 Application which designated a certificate, or PCT International	v to disclose all information known to 15 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any it least one other country than the Ur I Application, filed by me or my assig y is claimed, or (2) if no priority claim	me to be material to foreign applicational nited States, listed to the disclosing the	o patentability as defined in 3 (s) for patent or inventor's cerelow and have also identified subject matter claimed in this	7 C.F.R. 1.56. Except as tificate, or 365(a) of any face and face applies.	s noted below, I hereby claim PCT International
PRIOR FOREIGN APPLIC Number Cou	ATION(S) untry Day/MONTH/	Year Filed	Date first Laid- open or Published	Date Patented or Granted	Priority NOT Clairs at
	rnational 11 August 199		24 February 2000	or Granted	Priority NOT Claimed
If more prior foreign applicati	ions, X box <i>at bottom</i> and continu	e on attached pag	e.		
Except as noted below, I hereb PCT international applications I application is in addition to that defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56 which application:	y claim domestic priority benefit und- isted above or below and, if this is a disclosed in such prior applications, became available between the filing	er 35 U.S.C. 119(e) continuation-in-par I acknowledge the g date of each such	or 120 and/or 365(c) of the in (CIP) application, insofar as duty to disclose all informatio prior application and the nati	the subject matter disclo	sed and claimed in this
Application No. (series co		NTH/Year Filed		Status abandoned, patented	Priority NOT Claimed
00/090,180	11 Augu	ist 1998		pending	
2					
Turitine mar mese statements we	ents made herein of my own knowled ere made with the knowledge that wi Inited States Code and that such wil	litui taise statement	s and the like so made are or	inichable by fine or impris	
attorneys to prosecute this applied authorize them to delete names person/assignee/attorney/firm/ of to be represented unless/until 1 Paul N. Kokulis 1 G. Lloyd Knight 1 Kevin E. Joyce 2 George M. Sirilla 1 Donald J. Bird 2 Dale S. Lazar Paul E. White, Jr. 3	Vinthrop LLP, Intellectual Property G 00 (to whom all communications are ication and to transact all business in frumbers below of persons no longe organization who/which first sends/se instruct the above Firm and/or a belo 6773 Kendrew H. Colton 67698 G. Paul Edgell 67508 Lynn E. Eccleston 76508 Lynn E. Eccleston 765123 David A. Jakopin 76523 Mark G. Paulson 76524 Retained H. Zaitlen 76525 Retained H. Zaitlen 76526 Retained H. Zaitlen 76526 Retained H. Zaitlen 76526 Retained H. Zaitlen	to be directed), and the Patent and Train the Patent and Train with their firm and ent this case to then award at this case to the sward and the same and the same as the same	d the below-named persons (ademark Office connected the to act and rely on instruction n and by whom/which I hereb g to the contrary. Roger R. Wise Michael R. Dzwonczyk V. Patrick Bengtsson ack S. Barufka kdam R. Hess Villiam P. Atkins Paul L. Sharer Robin L. Teskin	of the same address) inderewith and with the results	ividually and collectively my ting patent, and I hereby directly with the sented after full disclosure Miele 34393 Valters 40862
, arterno	First	Maid all 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	Grillo-Lopez		
Residence Rancho S		Middle Initial California, U.S	· ^	Family Name	
Transition of	City			U.S.A.	
Mailing Address			ate/Foreign Country	Cour	ntry of Citizenship
(include Zip Code)	P.O. Box 3797, Ranch 92067	o Santa Fe, Calife	ornia		
		_			
(2) INVENTOR'S SIGNATUI	RE:		Date:		
	First	Middle Initial		Femilia A)	
Residence	1 1131	wildale initial		Family Name	
	City				
Mailing Address	City	Sta	ate/Foreign Country	Coun	try of Citizenship
(include Zip Code)					
"X" box ☐ FOR ADDIT	TIONAL INVENTORS, and gn priorities on attached p	J d proceed on t	the attached page to	list each addition	al inventor.
`	gir prioritios on attachea p	age (incorpor		:110e). I No. P0277847	

(M#)

PATENT AND TRADEMARK CASES - RULES OF PRACTICE DUTY OF DISCLOSURE

(a) ...Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the [Patent and Trademark] Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability...(b) information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative and (1) It also establishes by itself, or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim or (2) refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability

PATENT LAWS 35 U.S.C.

§102. Conditions for patentability; novelty and loss of right to patent

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless--

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
- (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months* before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

§103. Condition for patentability; non-obvious subject matter

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. . . .
- (c) Subject matter developed by another person, which qualified as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

^{*} Six months for Design Applications (35 U.S.C. 172).